

CITY OF ALBANY
CITY COUNCIL
Council Chambers
Wednesday, June 8, 2011
7:15 p.m.

MINUTES

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Konopa called the meeting to order at 7:15 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

Konopa led the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

ROLL CALL

Councilors present: Jeff Christman, Floyd Collins, Dick Olsen, Bill Coburn, and Bessie Johnson
Councilor absent: Ralph Reid, Jr. (excused)

SCHEDULED BUSINESS

Proclamation for West Albany High School softball.

Konopa read a proclamation declaring June 10, 2011, as West Albany High School Girls Softball Day.

Communication

Accepting Mary Brock's resignation from the Senior Center Endowment Committee.

MOTION: Councilor Collins moved to accept the resignation of Mary Brock from the Senior Center Endowment Committee and send her a letter of thanks for her service. Councilor Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Continued Consolidated Legislative Public Hearing

CP-02-10/DC-05-10, amending Ordinance No. 4447, which adopted the City of Albany Comprehensive Plan and Map, and amending Ordinance No. 4441, which adopted the City of Albany Development Code by amending the Comprehensive Plan text and maps, and Development Code text relating to protection of Natural Resources (Statewide Planning Goal 5), adopting findings, and declaring an emergency.

Konopa reopened the Consolidated Legislative Public Hearing at 7:18 p.m., explaining that it is regarding legislative amendments to the Albany Comprehensive Plan, Chapters 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, and plates 3, 4, and 6; and amendments to Development Code Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, and 22, to implement Statewide Planning Goal 5.

Collins mentioned that he attended the Work Session provided by staff for the parties interested in Goal 5.

Councilor Olsen attended the Work Session as well.

Konopa reminded those wishing to testify to please be aware that they must raise an issue with enough detail to afford the Council and parties an opportunity to respond to the issue if they later want to raise that issue on appeal or object to a periodic review work task submittal to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation & Development (DLCDD). Testimony and evidence must be directed towards the approval standards staff will describe or other criteria in the Plan or Development Code which they believe apply to the decision. If additional documents or evidence are provided by any party, the City Council may allow a continuance or leave the record open to allow the parties a reasonable opportunity to respond. If you testified at the hearing on May 25, please restrict testimony tonight to new information.

Staff Report

Planning Manager Heather Hansen explained that this is a legislative amendment under periodic review. The proposed amendments must achieve the City's approved Periodic Review Program and identified tasks that were approved by the Council and the state in 1997. The Goal 5 tasks include identifying the significant wetlands, riparian corridors, and wildlife habitat, and adopting a local program to protect them. She said that Oregon Administrative Rules 660-25-0140 require that the Community Development Director send written notice of the Council's decision to persons who participated at the local level during the process or who requested notice in writing. Also, persons who participate at a local level during the process leading to the final decision may object to the local government's periodic review work task submittal. To be valid, objections must:

- Be in writing and filed with the DLCD's Salem office no later than 21 days from the date the notice was mailed by the City; and
- Clearly identify the alleged deficiency in the work task sufficiently to identify the relevant section of the final decisions and the statute, goal, or administrative rule the task submittal is alleged to have violated; and
- Suggest specific revisions that would resolve the objections; and
- Demonstrate that the objecting party participated at the local level during the process.

Hansen reviewed elements of the Proposed Natural Resource Protection Program to implement Goal 5, including:

- Many land disturbing activities within the proposed overlay districts would require a natural resource impact review. Many activities are exempt, including maintenance and replacement of existing development and landscaping. There were other exemptions, but the City cannot exempt whoever asks to be exempted. That would not result in protection of the resources the City is required to protect.
- Riparian Corridor - 50-foot riparian corridor widths from ordinary high water or from upland edge of adjacent wetlands. Lower quality riparian areas can be reduced to 25-feet with enhancement of the remaining 25-feet adjacent to the resource. 321 residential properties less than 20,000 square feet are exempt; mainly existing backwards on properties that can't be further divided. This represents about 13.5 acres on these lots.
- Significant Wetlands - Most wetlands are identified as not significant. Some lower quality isolated wetlands will not be regulated locally.
- Habitat Assessment – More than 2,000 square feet of new land disturbing activity within 75-feet from ordinary high water mark around Thornton Lake would require a turtle habitat assessment.

Hansen introduced into the record three maps: Net Impact of Recommended Goal 5 Overlay Districts; Recommended Goal 5 Significant Natural Resource Protection Overlay Districts; and Local Wetland Inventory and Significant Wetland Overlay District (SW). She explained that the City already has regulations for the large majority of land within these overlay districts, mainly floodway and open space zoning. 403 acres (not including floodway or open space), or approximately 2.8% of the geographic area in the Urban Growth Boundary, not counting for the residential exemption. The net total of acres in the overlays is 1,442, which means 72% are already in open space or floodway. The breakdown is as follows: 657 acres-significant wetlands; 307 acres-waterways; 472 acres riparian corridor overlay; and 28 acres habitat assessment overlay (5 in Habitat Assessment only). She said that all significant wetlands and riparian corridors were deemed unsuitable for development and were not counted when they reviewed the impact on buildable employment land with the Economic Opportunity Analysis.

Hansen entered into the record a letter from Jim Brewer (in agenda file), the City's Attorney for any correspondence with the Grand Prairie Water Control District (GPWCD), who gave his opinion on GPWCD and Goal 5 including that special districts such as GPWCD are required to make land use decisions, and to have plans that are in compliance with statewide planning goals, including Goal 5, and therefore it is difficult to see how the City and GPWCD could have goals that are opposed in any significant manner, or how a blanket exemption from regulations that achieve Goal 5 could advance the goals of the water control district. Staff asked GPWCD to meet with them and have not received a response.

If the Council chose to do nothing about Goal 5, the consequences are outlined in ORS 197.636 and explained in Brewer's letter. Briefly, the State could require the City to use the goals and administrative rules in land use decisions until the work task is approved (this option would require more work for staff, more public hearing processes, and there would be less certainty for applicants); the State could require the City to forfeit grant money; the State could complete the work program or work task and require the City to pay the State for the work; and/or the State could require whatever other interim measures it deems necessary to ensure compliance with the statewide planning goals.

Community Development Director Greg Byrne summarized comments received from the Chamber of Commerce, emails from Mark Azevedo, an email from Bill Kinkley, a letter from the Willamette Association of Realtors, and a letter from Randy Barna (all in the agenda file). The Calapooia Watershed Council notified staff that they could provide additional information on the impacts on riparian areas, which will be part of the discussion at this meeting.

Johnson asked staff to repeat what happens if the Council does nothing. City Attorney Jim Delapoe explained what could happen if the City doesn't adopt a plan. He said that land use in Albany could be determined by statewide goals that are not familiar with our local land uses. The Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) could also impose goals. Appeals would not be based on the City's Comprehensive Plan. Goal 5 is the last part of the periodic review work program that the City needs to complete. If the Council just puts it off, the State may say that the City is not making a good faith effort.

Testimony

Konopa explained to the audience if anyone wished to enter an exhibit into the record as part of their testimony, please briefly describe the letter, photo, or map, and then present it to the City Clerk.

Mark Grenz, MultiTech Engineering, 1155 13th Street SE, Salem, OR, representing the Granada Land Company, said he had participated in the work session held Friday. He needs more time to work with staff to understand the impacts and to provide some alternatives to consider. He provided two photos and two site maps of the property he has been working with (in agenda file). He explained the photos indicate that with significant wetlands designation it makes it difficult to develop much in the area. He reviewed the pictures and how they were able to deal with wetlands within that development.

Councilor Christman asked, is that all one piece of property? Grenz said yes, but it is only being used as an example.

Christman said, you mentioned you have possible alternatives. He asked, have you given them to staff? Grenz said not yet.

Grenz continued by showing the site plans for the apartments and what the costs would have been if implemented with the proposed Goal 5 requirements. He asked the Council to consider giving them more time to make this work.

Jack Burrell, K&D Engineering, 276 Hickory Street NW, Albany, explained maps that represented areas affected by sensitive resource overlays and wetlands. He said wetlands make up 212 acres and their study indicated that 46% of the developable land acreage would be designated. It leaves “pods” of developable land. What is available is hard to get to. He used the Kennel property on the south side of Highway 20, near Coastal Farms, as an example of property that had wetlands that were mitigated. If the Council adopts the new rules that are being proposed, the Kennel property will have minor pieces that could be developable. He said the wetlands represent a large chunk of land in the Urban Growth Boundary and buildable lands inventory. He would like more time, as well, to give input.

Burrell also provided a map (in agenda file) of property west of the Mennonite Village and explained what happened before Scott Lepman owned the property. He said if the new rules are adopted there would be significant reduction to that developable property as well.

Councilor Coburn asked, what part would be developable if new rules are not adopted? Burrell explained what area could be developed. He added that they are on the verge of getting the wetlands mitigated.

Collins asked, have other communities adopted Goal 5? Burrell said he understands Lebanon and Salem have gone through their Periodic Review and it has been accepted.

Collins said Albany has current rules that lack the adoption of Goal 5, and other communities have already adopted their Goal 5. How do the standards in those communities compare to the standards Albany is proposing? Burrell said Candace Ribera may be able to speak to that more fully but his understanding is they do not have the overlays on top of the Division of State Lands’ (DSL) present rule of authority.

Burrell said the Albany Planning Commission did not approve this plan; they passed it on to the Council. He feels that there hasn’t been enough community involvement. The process should include a cross section of affected citizens. Some of the very people whose property is affected haven’t had an opportunity to be involved. He asked the Council to put the brakes on the process to include and consider all ideas.

Candace Ribera, 100 Ferry Street NW, Albany, representing Scott Lepman, wanted to make it clear that neither she nor Scott was advocating abandoning Goal 5. She read a prepared report that included eight maps (in agenda file).

Ribera, commenting on Collins’ question, said regulations are all over the board in other cities. They rely on DSL rules regarding wetlands.

Olsen asked, is the DSL regulations cast in stone? Hansen wasn’t sure. She said it is to the City’s advantage to have our own regulations.

Scott Lepman, 100 Ferry Street NW, read his letter (in agenda file).

Konopa asked the Council if they planned to make a decision tonight. Council discussion was to have testimony with a time limit, and continue the public hearing to a set date. The Council agreed to cease testimony at 10:00 p.m.

Janet Steele, 435 1st Avenue W, representing the Albany Area Chamber of Commerce, summarized a letter asking the Council to do the minimum required to comply with state law to reduce the negative economic impact of Goal 5. She recommended that the Council leave the record open.

Mark Azevedo, 1210 Skyline Drive NW, wanted to explain why these areas are important to protect. All areas have significant floodways. He explained the route of the Willamette River and why North Albany

has such a significant floodway area. All the flooded areas are where the diversity of wildlife exists. Biologically they are important; because of floods they are important. Even for economic development warnings are important. He used flooded areas along the Mississippi River as an example of people building where they shouldn't have and suffering the loss of their homes. He commented on turtles in North Albany and referred to his information that was provided in the previously mentioned emails. He commended the Planning staff for their diligence. He said they need to finish the Goal 5 process. Putting off the decision making process should be weighed with a diversity of opinions.

Diana Amos, 1030 North Albany Road, Albany, Oregon, and 14 El Molino Drive, Clayton, California, read a letter (in agenda file) dated May 28, 2011, from The Acker Group LLC, Wetlands & Forestry Consultants regarding their property. She was concerned about being able to build on her property. The buildable area seems to be getting smaller and smaller with the new rules. She needs more time to protect her property.

Frank Amos, 1030 North Albany Road, Albany, Oregon, and 14 El Molino Drive, Clayton, California said these are complicated regulations. They need more time to understand the effects on their property. He was concerned about the turtle habitat report not being accurate. Although they recognize the need for Goal 5, they would argue for doing the minimum requirement. He asked the Council for flexibility of the rules at a reasonable cost. They would like to coexist with the turtles and enjoy their property.

John Pascone, 435 West 1st. Street, urged the Council to have restraint on commercial lands and take care not to impact employment properties. He believes balance is in order.

Dan Watson, 710 East Thornton Lake Drive, submitted a letter with recommended additions to the Natural Resource Districts, Article 6, (in agenda file). He believes Goal 5 needs to provide planning flexibility and provide buffers. This is not a "no rules and no protection" versus some rules and some protection. Since the original proposal, he recognized that some extreme language has been taken out. He commented on Open Space, saying that regulations that exist are not for urban use but do contain some uses. The proposed revisions would be for no use. He asked the Council to keep the existing uses. The community should provide a base for manufacturing. He was concerned that the way the turtle regulations are written his entire property could be declared turtle habitat. He isn't sure, but would like that uncertainty about declaring turtle habitat taken out of the new code.

Ed Schultz, 130 West First Avenue, representing GPWCD, provided a letter to the Council with his comments (in agenda file). He said the District works quietly, effectively, and they provide water movement. Since being formed after the 1964 flood, the District has improved the Albany area streams and has armored, straightened, repaired, and constructed the streams to a design. That design, set by various federal agencies, was intended to keep the local creeks within their banks and provide for an additional amount of area within the banks of the creeks for flood waters. He said that in the City's evaluation of the natural resource, they are supposed to identify conflicts. One of those conflicts is the work of the GPWCD. Once identified, then there must be an analysis of whether the resource should be fully protected, partially protected, or not protected. They strongly recommend that the Council direct staff to amend provisions for the existence of the District and its beneficial impact. They also would like to remove language within the Comprehensive Plan and designation on the Zone Map that would overlay the water courses within the Grand Prairie Water Control District's jurisdiction. Simply put, the limitations that would apply in the limitations of work, vegetation removal, and gaining of access easements would not be allowed by the proposed regulations. They request that within the jurisdiction of the Grand Prairie Water Control District there be no overlay zones applied. The area would still be protected. They also had warm water concerns and suggested language changes to Article 6. Schultz thanked staff and the Council for meeting on Friday and suggested that they take one month off to allow interested parties to work with staff to try to resolve some of these issues.

Staff Response

Byrne responded that the map handed out by Grenz is inaccurate. The way the riparian corridor is depicted is not correct.

Byrne commented that the building concerns that the Amos family have may be unfounded as their permit would be reviewed under current regulations and the building application would not be affected.

Byrne said that Watson's entire property would not be affected by the changes. The turtle habitat is assessed in only the first 75 feet from water's edge.

Byrne said the Council heard two very similar numbers for wetland acreage in the community, both around 666 acres. If the City Council does nothing the City's wetlands will be regulated by DSL. If the Council does accept the proposed Goal 5 changes, DSL will still regulate the wetlands. The City is adding significant wetlands as a part of Goal 5. They are required to do so. He commented that the Council heard that Salem does not, but what he has read of their Goal 5 they do.

Byrne said staff met with Linn County, and they use a 50 foot buffer around all wetlands and a 50 foot buffer around all riparian areas, and permit no structures within those areas. What staff is proposing for Albany is less stringent than Linn County.

Byrne said it is true that DSL doesn't require the buffer, but the DLCD does, as a part of Goal 5. It is a different state agency with different administrative rules.

Public Process

The Council had a discussion regarding continuing the public hearing, and scheduling a work session for more citizen input and for staff to clarify issues.

MOTION: Collins moved to continue the public hearing to the July 27, 2011, Regular Council Session, at 7:15 p.m., and have staff meet with the interested parties. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Recess

The meeting was recessed at 10:09 p.m. for a Council break.

Reconvene

The meeting was reconvened at 10:19 p.m.

Public Hearings

Supporting an application to the Community Development Block Grant Program for a Housing Rehabilitation Project.

Konopa explained that this public hearing is to hear public comment on several issues related to Albany's past and future participation in the Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG). This hearing process allows the City to meet federal requirements that the City obtain comments from citizens and from agencies advocating for low-and-moderate income persons.

Staff Report

Planner II Anne Catlin explained that the reason for the hearing is to close out the 2009 CDBG grant, which was \$400,000 that went to the Linn Benton Regional Revolving Loan Fund for housing rehabilitation for the Albany area and outlining Linn County cities. They have another request for Albany to continue to participate in the same program and to hear any requests from any other agencies that provide loans to low-income individuals.

Tom Hatley, 532 Calapooia Street, Community Services Consortium, said they served 19 households this past year. The majority of the loans were for housing repairs, roofing, and correcting substandard housing. The average loan was around \$17,000 and 50% were female, head of household. The program has been funded the last four years, with the sponsored support of Benton County and the City of Albany. They have spent over \$1.1 million for low income housing repair loans. They expect to serve 21 households with the new grant.

Konopa opened the public hearing at 10:26 p.m.

Testimony

No one wished to speak.

Konopa closed the public hearing at 10:27 p.m.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution in support of an application to the Community Development Block Grant Program for a Housing Rehabilitation Project. Olsen seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6003.

Adopting the 2012-2016 Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

Staff Report

Senior Accountant Jeff Babbitt said the CIP process started in November of 2010, and this is the final public hearing before the Council decides to adopt it. The public hearing was posted in the usual places and staff received no comments on any of the projects.

Konopa opened the public hearing at 10:28 p.m.

Testimony

No one wished to speak.

Konopa closed the public hearing at 10:28 p.m.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution calling for the adoption of the 2012-2016 Capital Improvement Program. Collins seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6004.

Declaring the City's eligibility to receive state shared revenues and the City's election to receive state shared revenues.

Konopa opened the public hearing at 10:30 p.m.

Testimony

No one wished to speak.

Konopa closed the public hearing at 10:30 p.m.

MOTION: Collins moved to adopt the resolution declaring the City's eligibility to receive state shared revenues. Olsen seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6005.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution declaring the City's election to receive state shared revenues. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6006.

Adopting the FY 2011-2012 Annual Budget.

Konopa noted that there was a new budget resolution on the dais.

Konopa opened the public hearing at 10:32 p.m.

Staff Report

City Manager Wes Hare commented that Albany is in a relatively good position compared to other cities. The City is still able to provide all services despite the reduction in the number of employees, although some services have been decreased. He added that the Albany Rural Fire District has notified the City that it is going to contribute \$400,000 towards the purchase of equipment.

Finance Director Stewart Taylor explained that the change between the resolution in the original agenda and the resolution on the dais is a grant that modifies the Budget Committee Approved FY 2011-2012 Budget by \$5,000.

Testimony

No one else wished to speak.

Konopa closed the public hearing at 10:36 p.m.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the FY 2011-2012 Budget resolution on the dais, which includes the \$5,000 grant. Johnson second the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6007.

Business from the Public

Charlene Morrison, 1350 NW Springhill Drive, submitted a petition with 357 signatures (in agenda file) to resolve the dog Blue Raymond's case. She read the contents of the petition, which included additional concerns about the Police report. She explained what she thinks is wrong with the current ordinance. She said they want the City to pardon Blue.

Cherie Holverson, 608 Alpine Meadow, read a letter (in agenda file) from Susan Jones, the Manager of the Pet Hotel where Blue is being housed, regarding his behavior.

First Reading of Ordinances

Levying a charge under the provisions of Chapter 10.16 of the Albany Municipal Code for an in-lieu-of assessment for interceptor sewer for property described as Tax Lot 1000, Parcel 10S-04W-35DB; and declaring an emergency.

City Attorney Jim Delapoer read for the first time in title only “AN ORDINANCE LEVYING A CHARGE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10.16 OF THE ALBANY MUNICIPAL CODE FOR AN IN-LIEU-OF ASSESSMENT FOR INTERCEPTOR SEWER FOR PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS TAX LOT 1000, PARCEL 10S-04W-35DB; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.”

MOTION: Coburn moved to have the ordinance read a second time in title only. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Delapoer read the ordinance a second time in title only.

MOTION: Johnson moved to adopt the ordinance. Coburn seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Ordinance No. 5757.

Levying an assessment against property specifically benefited by sewer connections and the assessment of a Sewer System Development Charge for property described as Tax Lot 1000, Parcel 10S-04W-35DB and Site Address 1465 Scenic Drive NW; and declaring an emergency.

City Attorney Jim Delapoer read for the first time in title only “AN ORDINANCE LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST PROPERTY SPECIFICALLY BENEFITED BY SEWER CONNECTIONS AND THE ASSESSMENT OF A SEWER SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE FOR PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS TAX LOT 1000, PARCEL 10S-04W-35DB AND SITE ADDRESS 1465 SCENIC DRIVE NW; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.”

MOTION: Coburn moved to have the ordinance read a second time in title only. Christman seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Delapoer read the ordinance a second time in title only.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the ordinance. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Ordinance No. 5758.

Amending Albany Municipal Code Chapter 2.88, Initiative and Referendum Procedures, relating to explanatory statements for proposed measure initiated or referred by petition.

City Attorney Jim Delapoer read for the first time in title only “AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ALBANY MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 2.88, INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM PROCEDURES, RELATING TO EXPLANATORY STATEMENTS FOR PROPOSED MEASURES INITIATED OR REFERRED BY PETITION.”

MOTION: Coburn moved to have the ordinance read a second time in title only. Christman seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Delapoer read the ordinance a second time in title only.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the ordinance. Christman seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Ordinance No. 5759.

Adoption of Resolutions

ST-08-06, Infrastructure Improvements – School to Knox Butte, accepting the Engineer’s and Financial Investigation Reports and setting a public hearing.

Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer Mark Shepard commented that the Engineer’s Report and Financial Investigation Report in the agenda had been revised from those previously submitted on May 11, 2011. It was discovered that Timber Ridge Street construction costs expended by the Greater Albany Public Schools for the benefit of this project during the first Local Improvement District were not charged to this project. It has been corrected. The City is participating in the project by contributing water system development charge funds in the maximum amount identified in the Initial Engineer’s Report. He said if the Council adopts the resolution, a public hearing will be set for June 22.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution accepting the Engineer’s and Financial Investigation reports for ST-08-06, and to set a public hearing for June 22, 2011. Olsen seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6008.

Repealing Resolution No. 5919 and revising fees and charges for Parks & Recreation services for Fiscal Year 2011-2012.

Parks & Recreation Director Ed Hodney said only one fee has been changed.

MOTION: Johnson moved to adopt the resolution repealing Resolution No. 5919 and revising fees and charges for Parks & Recreation services for Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Coburn seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6009.

Rescinding Resolution No. 3402 establishing procedures for the billing of special assessments and providing for the notification and collection of delinquent assessment accounts.

Delapoer said the current process is cumbersome and staff wants to streamline the process to collect on some long term liens.

Taylor said staff would still come to the Council on a case by case basis.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution repealing Resolution No. 3402 establishing procedures for the billing of special assessments and providing for the notification and collection of delinquent assessment accounts. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6010.

Entering into an intergovernmental agreement to accept a transportation and growth management grant from the state of Oregon, acting by and through its Department of Transportation, to complete the City of Albany, South Albany Area Plan.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the resolution having the City enter into an intergovernmental agreement to accept a transportation and growth management grant from the state of Oregon, acting by and through its Department of Transportation, to complete the City of Albany, South Albany Area Plan. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0, and was designated Resolution No. 6011.

Adoption of Consent Calendar

- 1) Approval of Minutes
 - a) May 9, 2011, City Council Regular Work Session.
 - b) May 9, 2011, City Council Special Work Session.
- 2) Accepting right-of-way dedication deeds from:
 - a) Kenneth G. Marshall 401(k) profit sharing plan. RES. NO. 6012
 - b) Geary Street Partners, LLC. RES. NO. 6013
 - c) David E. Smith and Martha H. Smith. RES. NO. 6014
- 3) Approving liquor license for Chanda Corporation, D/B/A Ciddici's Pizza, 133 Fifth Avenue SE.
- 4) Approving annual liquor license renewals.

MOTION: Coburn moved to adopt the Consent Calendar as presented. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Award of Bid

ST-11-02, 20th and 21st Avenue Rehabilitation.

MOTION: Collins moved to award the contract for ST-11-02, 20th and 21st Avenues Rehabilitation, to the low bidder, Kerr Contractors, Inc. of Woodburn, Oregon, for \$266,515. Johnson seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

Appointment

Appointing Vicki Biggs to the Senior Center Endowment Committee.

MOTION: Johnson moved to appoint Vicki Biggs to the Senior Center Endowment Committee. Coburn seconded the motion and it passed 5-0.

BUSINESS FROM THE COUNCIL

Coburn noticed the taste of chlorine in the city water. Public Works Director Diane Taniguchi-Dennis will have staff check it out.

Johnson said she will be out of town June 29 to July 6.

NEXT MEETING DATE

A Special Work Session is scheduled for Monday, June 13, 2011, at 4:00 p.m., in the Municipal Court Room. The regular Work Session is scheduled for Monday, June 20, 2011, at 4:00 p.m., in the Municipal Court Room. The Regular Session is scheduled for Wednesday, June 22, 2011, at 7:15 p.m., in the City Council Chambers of City Hall.

Albany City Council Regular Session Minutes
Wednesday, June 8, 2011

ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

Reviewed by,

Betty Langwell, MMC
City Clerk

Stewart Taylor
Finance Director