

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
2	4	2	1	3	5	The utility pole on the NW corner blocks the view of traffic coming from the west. This pole needs to be relocated not matter what version is chosen.	2016-03-23 09:24:34
3	5	4	3	1	2	Roundabouts keep traffic moving where traffic lights continually stop traffic. The roundabouts and Alternative 3 are somewhat building for the future rather than just building for current need.	2016-03-23 10:14:44
4	2	1	5	4	3		2016-03-23 11:42:48
5	2	3	5	4	1	Traffic signal seems like drastic overkill. Not sure why you would go with a 'modern' roundabout when you can get all the benefits of it with a much cheaper mini-round.	2016-03-23 11:44:03
6	1	2	5	4	3	Please keep the cost of this project to a minimum. That is why I prefer Alternative one. Thank you for your time & consideration.	2016-03-23 11:44:17
7	2	1	3	4	4	The pictures show Crocker as a flat road but in fact it isn't. Part of the difficulty it's being able to merge onto Gibson from a slight incline. So you have plans to remedy this issue? To me this would influence my feedback on your ideas.	2016-03-23 11:49:55
8	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-23 11:51:03
9	2	5	4	3	1		2016-03-23 11:59:38
10	5	1	3	2	4		2016-03-23 12:26:28
11	2	3	4	1	5		2016-03-23 12:41:00
12	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-23 13:17:21
13	4	3	1	2	5	I changed my preferences AFTER noticing the cost chart. Long term solutions need heavy weight due to growth of North Albany. All way stop will back alot of traffic up on Crocker over time and mess with the flow of traffic that a signal would ease on Gibson Hill during low traffic times. Modern roundabouts are wonderful but the cost is high, as is alternative 3. The neighborhood is used to roundabouts though so two in a row would be nice.	2016-03-23 13:49:49
14	1	4	5	2	3		2016-03-23 13:53:47
15	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-23 14:02:47
16	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 14:37:26
17	4	5	3	1	2		2016-03-23 14:40:44
18	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-23 14:47:24
19	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 14:49:22
20	3	2	1	5	5	Positively no mini roundabout. The one on Springhill was a major problem. I heard nothing but complaints from a big amount of people who used it.	2016-03-23 14:56:27

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
21	2	5	4	1	3		2016-03-23 14:59:35
22	4	3	2	1	3		2016-03-23 15:07:24
23	1	3	2	5	4		2016-03-23 15:09:36
24	1	5	4	3	2		2016-03-23 15:11:36
25	2	1	3	5	4		2016-03-23 15:21:27
26	4	2	1	3	5	Cars go to fast on the road for a mini round about. Build the traffic signal with the future in mind!!!! This area is going to be impacted by more subdivisions meaning more traffic.	2016-03-23 15:42:22
27	3	1	2	5	4		2016-03-23 15:43:58
28	2	4	5	1	3		2016-03-23 15:56:19
29	1	2	3	3	3	Roundabouts suck. Not worth the money that will be spent. Go with an all way stop. This is the least expensive option.	2016-03-23 15:58:41
30	5	2	3	1	4		2016-03-23 16:17:10
31	4	3	2	5	1	I'm so happy to hear that you are going to do something about that intersection. I always have a hard time turning there especially during the morning and evening commute times.	2016-03-23 16:19:37
32	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-23 16:26:09
33	5	1	2	3	4	If ROW were not a concern, my first choice would be a modern roundabout. The mini roundabout option looks good on paper, but in practice I'm not sure it would be safe due to high speeds and the ability to drive straight through it. The all-way stop causes a lot of needless stops and frustration. Reluctantly, the signal options therefore seem the best to me.	2016-03-23 16:33:14
34	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-23 16:37:48
35	5	2	3	1	4		2016-03-23 16:42:40
36	5	2	1	3	4	I can't tell if any of the alternatives include a left turn lane for Crocker, with or without a traffic signal, so people don't have to queue on Crocker while waiting for pauses in traffic. (Right turns from Crocker onto Gibson Hill are usually straightforward.) Based on the flow of traffic, Gibson Hill should. continue to be the priority road, in terms of signal timing. Traffic flow study should also consider stop sign interactions with Gibson Hill and Scenic, since lots of us can access Scenic and Hwy 20 by either Valley View/Scenic or Crocker	2016-03-23 17:02:03
37	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-23 17:03:22
38	5	4	1	2	3	Gibson also needs a center left turn lane from Crocker to Scenic This will help keep the flow of traffic going	2016-03-23 17:08:35

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
39	5	4	2	1	3	What happens to the new ped crossing signal? Will it be Incorporated in the roundabout designs? Not stated is the population target each option will serve.	2016-03-23 17:21:42
40	5	4	1	3	2	Option 3 would be perfect, but there is NO NEED for lights there. I lived on Crocker for most of my life, and I still drive there regularly. Just the turn lanes would make a HUGE difference, and NOT impede traffic flow if you eliminate the lights, AND cut down the cost without having to install them.	2016-03-23 17:49:24
41	5	1	2	4	3		2016-03-23 17:52:34
42	5	4	1	2	3		2016-03-23 17:53:34
43	5	2	1	3	4		2016-03-23 18:02:28
44	2	5	3	1	4	Really like roundabouts. Many complain but they are most efficient at keeping traffic moving. The ones we have added recently seem to work fine. Other cities and countries seem happy with them	2016-03-23 18:05:35
45	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-23 18:07:23
46	1	2	3	4	4		2016-03-23 18:18:21
47	1	3	2	5	5	Absolutely no roundabout. They are terrible and don't work. Still having nightmares about the temporary roundabout on Springhill. It was awful!	2016-03-23 18:22:43
48	4	1	2	3	4	Do not want another roundabout! I assume the all way stop would mean stop signs at Crocker and Gibson Hill. I think that would back up traffic on Gibson Hill.	2016-03-23 18:31:22
49	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-23 18:32:55
50	4	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 18:33:58
51	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-23 18:42:52
52	3	1	2	5	4		2016-03-23 18:50:53
53	4	4	3	2	1		2016-03-23 18:52:18
54	5	5	1	2	5	Mini roundabouts do not permit some to enter safely. In the AM, Gibson east bound, then south traffic dominate the circle in a train like fashion and not allowing north to south in...it reverses in PM. The full size roundabouts in the City give everyone an equal chance. Speed on Gibson needs to be reduced to 35 with speed monitoring electronic signs commonly found in other states. I think the roundabouts will be best to keep traffic flowing. The modern option is a lot more expensive than the mini, but I think it would be better for visibility. If we're hurting for cash, I'd probably vote for mini. Thanks for taking our feedback!	2016-03-23 18:57:28
55	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 18:59:08
56	2	4	3	1	5		2016-03-23 18:59:13

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
57	5	2	1	3	4		2016-03-23 18:59:37
58	3	4	2	1	4		2016-03-23 19:00:13
59	3	4	2	1	5		2016-03-23 19:04:25
60	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-23 19:05:40
61	5	2	3	4	1		2016-03-23 19:12:30
62	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 19:16:59
63	4	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 19:19:48
64	4	3	5	1	2	Thank you for asking for the public's opinion. I appreciate it. A roundabout would be the best way to keep the traffic flowing. Gibson Hill is not designed to handle stand-still traffic, which would happen with a light.	2016-03-23 19:27:56
65	5	2	1	3	4	Getting much worse to turn left onto GH from Crocker. Visibility an issue without advancing quite a ways past limit line. Speed is also becoming a problem on Gobson Hill.	2016-03-23 19:28:16
66	2	4	3	1	5		2016-03-23 19:29:57
67	3	5	4	2	1	In the short term, Pull back any visual impedance when on Crocker. Cars always pull out way to far (bike lane and even car lane) on Gibson Hill.The majority of the time when driving by this intersection, we need to tap our brakes because it appears that a car from Crocker is going to pull out into traffic.	2016-03-23 19:31:28
68	3	5	4	1	2		2016-03-23 19:33:03
69	5	4	1	3	2		2016-03-23 19:34:56
70	4	3	2	1	4		2016-03-23 19:35:23
71	4	3	2	1	4		2016-03-23 19:37:45
72	1	4	5	2	3		2016-03-23 19:38:23
73	4	4	4	2	1		2016-03-23 19:39:56
74	2	1	3	4	4		2016-03-23 19:41:26
75	4	3	5	2	1		2016-03-23 19:43:19
76	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-23 19:43:24

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
77	3	1	1	5	4	The single lane signal seems to be the best solution for the monies need to incorporate it. Stops signs would just create another source of frustration and congestion. The traffic light would be the safest for all traffic involved including bike and foot. Roundabouts are horrible!	2016-03-23 19:48:05
78	3	1	2	4	5	It's becoming increasing difficult to turn left or right from Crocker onto North Albany Road. The speed on North Albany road continues to increase making it unsafe.	2016-03-23 19:49:11
79	4	4	4	4	4	We do not need anything at these cross streets. How about you spend our city's money on something useful. If this intersection needs something then all the stupid intersections along Gibson Hill need something.	2016-03-23 19:49:36
80	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-23 19:55:23
81	5	2	3	1	4		2016-03-23 19:57:39
82	1	2	3	4	4	Please no round abouts!!!! No one knows how to use them! Stop signs would be best because of all the pedestrians out here.	2016-03-23 20:02:05
83	5	3	4	1	2	A roundabout works the best for everyone UNLESS it is going to take property owned by a private citizen that doesn't want to give it up. It cannot be the "mini roundabout" if that means it is at an angle like the one on Springhill and Nebergall/Quarry. That was a nightmare and I live on Nebergall so I should know. I have to drive Gibson every school morning towards scenic to drop off my daughter at Oak Grove for CAP and then again back towards NAMS to drop my son off. An all way stop would prove to be a significant inconvenience in the early morning traffic and I have to be at work (WAHS) by 7:15 am. We already have to leave at 6:45 for me to get there on time with traffic busses delaying what would normally be a short trip. I hope this proves to be helpful.	2016-03-23 20:11:43
84	1	3	2	4	5		2016-03-23 20:15:36
85	4	5	2	3	1		2016-03-23 20:18:58
86	5	3	1	4	2		2016-03-23 20:20:42
87	5	4	1	2	3	Even though I like the round about I am concerned whether I could get my 34 ft trailer around it if it is too small.	2016-03-23 20:22:09
88	3	2	1	4	5		2016-03-23 20:25:57
89	5	3	1	4	2		2016-03-23 20:31:23
90	2	1	3	4	5	Who is paying for this addition? What is the reasoning behind the expansion? The mini round about doesn't work well and should be removed as an option. Corvallis use to have a mini round about on 11th and Grant, it was awful!	2016-03-23 20:33:33
91	3	2	1	4	5		2016-03-23 20:41:28
92	2	3	5	4	1		2016-03-23 20:42:26

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
93	2	1	3	4	5		2016-03-23 20:43:48
94	5	4	2	1	3		2016-03-23 20:47:30
95	5	2	4	3	1		2016-03-23 20:47:48
96	4	4	3	1	2		2016-03-23 20:51:24
97	3	5	4	1	2	Modern roundabout to keep traffic flowing, please! We do not need more stop lights in North Albany. We already have too many. The Thornton Lake signal should be turned off during non-school hours.	2016-03-23 20:54:04
98	3	4	4	1	2		2016-03-23 20:58:53
99	5	2	1	3	4		2016-03-23 20:59:56
100	1	3	5	4	2		2016-03-23 21:07:18
101	5	3	4	2	1	A roundabout would be a wonderful way to ease traffic from Crocker onto Gibson Hill without totally disrupting the flow of traffic! I think the existing roundabout on Gibson Hill is great, another one would be fine with me.	2016-03-23 21:07:48
102	5	2	1	4	3	I think the all way stop could be dangerous especially in the morning commute time and may make commuting time longer, a little worried about the roundabout option as well. I have one near my home and people use it incorrectly daily.	2016-03-23 21:08:21
103	3	2	1	4	5		2016-03-23 21:15:58
104	5	1	3	4	2		2016-03-23 21:17:31
105	5	3	2	1	4	4-way stops slow people down even when nobody is around. A roundabout adjusts to the amount of traffic that is present.	2016-03-23 21:17:55
106	4	2	1	3	4	Having turn lanes seems like a good idea. Im tired of having people go around me while im sitting in traffic waiting for a chance to turn onto crocker from gibson hill.	2016-03-23 21:24:41
107	3	2	1	4	5	I think we could get away easily with just a single lane stop light for now. Eventually the city/county will need to redo Crocker lane and maybe the turn lane signal could be added then, when there is a higher volume of traffic. This is only going to get more congested. NO ROUNDABOUTS, PLEASE,!!	2016-03-23 21:27:23
108	2	5	4	1	3		2016-03-23 21:30:45
109	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-23 21:35:04
110	2	3	1	4	5		2016-03-23 21:43:59

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
111	3	2	1	5	4	Having lived on Crocker Lane for over 20 years now, Alternative 3 will be the best long-term investment for addressing both the current situation and future growth. It's also one that many of us have discussed over the years and would like to see implemented. Not in favor of any form of roundabout, as I believe it would horribly impede traffic from Crocker to Gibson during busy times of day (no improvement over the current situation, essentially).	2016-03-23 21:46:01
112	3	5	5	1	2		2016-03-23 21:49:19
113	3	5	4	1	2		2016-03-23 21:55:52
114	2	3	1	4	5	I hope the city will be mindful of future growth in the area and make the choice that will not require re-engineering just a few years down the road. The signal with turn lanes would offer this; though more money up front, it is money that won't have to be spent later to fix growing congestion.	2016-03-23 22:02:31
115	5	2	3	1	4		2016-03-23 22:22:59
116	3	1	2	4	4		2016-03-23 22:42:47
117	1	2	3	5	4	I live on Crocker and would love any of these options. I just don't like the idea of using someone's land for this. So the roundabouts seem to be the least preferred. Stop signs would be the best so in the early mornings people would not need to wait for the light to change to proceed.	2016-03-23 23:08:29
118	2	3	4	1	2		2016-03-24 05:25:53
119	4	3	2	1	3		2016-03-24 07:31:12
120	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-24 07:33:00
121	4	3	2	1	1		2016-03-24 07:39:18
122	2	3	1	4	5		2016-03-24 08:05:14
123	3	2	1	4	5	A mini-roundabout would be the least desirable, because it is hazardous to bicycles making an obstacle that cannot be reasonably gone around.	2016-03-24 08:28:25
124	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-24 08:59:49
125	3	2	1	5	5	A bridge from I-5 - Millersburg to North Albany would reduce traffic. Roundabouts in North Albany are a hazard.	2016-03-24 09:20:26
126	3	4	5	2	1		2016-03-24 09:39:35
127	2	4	5	3	1		2016-03-24 10:11:50

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
128	5	4	2	1	3		2016-03-24 10:48:12
129	5	4	1	3	2	No one will stop at stop signs, I don't think people will pay attention to them and drive right through it. I think a standard stop light that stays green on Gibson hill most of the time until there's cars on Crocker.	2016-03-24 12:49:43
130	5	2	4	3	1		2016-03-24 12:51:26
131	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-24 13:07:41
132	3	5	4	1	2		2016-03-24 13:23:10
133	3	2	4	5	1	Spending \$ 1.8 or \$1.4 million to accomplish what \$538,000 will do adequately for the next 20+ years makes no sense.	2016-03-24 13:31:11
134	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-24 15:02:16
135	5	4	2	1	3	I don't pay taxes so go ahead and spend that money! Why not just make a bridge and bypass crocker all together. how is this going to solve the queen street train crossing anyways?	2016-03-24 16:09:02
136	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-24 17:03:49
137	2	3	5	4	1		2016-03-24 18:41:28
138	5	2	4	3	1		2016-03-24 19:01:46
139	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-24 20:02:15
140	4	3	4	1	2		2016-03-24 21:38:27
141	1	5	5	5	5	For the price stop signs are the best. If the city wants to waste money on this why not use it to FIX THE ROADS?? If the feds have money for this then there must be money for the roads..	2016-03-24 21:42:46
142	4	3	3	2	1		2016-03-24 22:20:51
143	1	2	3	5	4		2016-03-24 22:24:40
144	5	4	3	2	1	I Prefer the modern roundabout but it looks to expensive. Stop signs would slow traffic way too much, that would be my last choice.	2016-03-24 22:37:09
145	2	4	5	3	1	I live off Valley View area and sometimes take Crocker to Gibson in the morning. I've not had a problem with waiting a minute or two to make a left turn. If I'm in a hurry I choose to take Scenic instead. I don't think the intersection needs to be changed but I understand there will be an increase in usage over the next couple of years.	2016-03-24 22:52:11
146	5	1	2	3	4		2016-03-24 23:11:21

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
147	1	3	2	4	5		2016-03-25 05:40:22
148	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-25 06:43:09
149	4	5	3	1	2		2016-03-25 07:04:32
150	3	4	1	2	5		2016-03-25 07:11:45
151	5	1	3	2	4	The all way stop seems to be the least effective and annoying impact for drivers. I am open to any other solution.	2016-03-25 07:32:08
152	2	4	3	5	1	Do not do the modern roundabout. Traffic signal is ok. I worry a stop sign would get run too often, especially with a pedestrian. Honestly, anything but leaving it as is is a danger to through traffic. Maybe add a turn lane to turn right onto crocker headed westbound and leave through traffic as is. Then through traffic would pass more easily and offer more openings for people to turn from crocker onto Gibson hill.	2016-03-25 07:51:18
153	3	1	2	4	4		2016-03-25 08:02:14
154	4	2	1	4	3		2016-03-25 08:12:38
155	3	2	5	1	4	I think long term the modern roundabout is best. Lower maintenance costs and no power required. It allows traffic to keep moving which saves fuel. The crocker ROW needs to allow better visibility to Gibson Hill, right now it is very difficult to see traffic on Gibson Hill. Better pedestrian and bike safety. I live very near this intersection and ride my bike through there a lot.	2016-03-25 08:25:12
156	2	1	3	4	4		2016-03-25 08:46:09
157	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-25 11:17:20
158	1	3	2	4	5		2016-03-25 11:30:42
159	5	2	3	1	4		2016-03-25 11:35:25
160	4	1	2	5	3		2016-03-25 13:06:33
161	4	1	3	2	5		2016-03-25 13:40:07
162	3	1	2	4	5	I live on Woodcrest Ave and navigate this intersection daily. I'm so glad you are investigating options to make it more safe, esp with the additional traffic that will be coming when the Albany Heights neighborhood is complete. Thank you!	2016-03-25 13:56:02
163	1	2	4	3	5		2016-03-25 15:25:50
164	4	5	3	2	1		2016-03-25 19:06:24

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
165	4	5	2	1	3	The modern roundabout is the only solution that makes sense. The "mini" looks dangerous and is just a "poor-boy", temporary solution. The traffic signal may work if there are signs several hundred feet from the intersection in all directions, that flash when the signal is about to change to give the drivers warning..	2016-03-25 19:50:07
166	3	2	1	5	5	Please, no more idiotic traffic circles. Folks really don't know how to use them. You have one set of timid drivers that stop if they see another car and another set of careless drivers that zoom on through without looking. Also folks traveling on down Gibson will believe they have the right of way since they are going straight and will blast right on through. This is how drivers from Cascade Hights act at the North Albany road circle. In general, a circle on Gibson is an absolutely terrible idea. I twirls not vote for those at all if it were an option..	2016-03-25 21:34:24
167	5	1	5	5	5	I prefer None of the Above. I travel (car and bike) through this intersection several time a week and don't see a problem. I think it is a waste of taxes. I think the money would be better used to connect Valley View to Springhill on the North end. This would reduce traffic through Crocker - Gibson. Then reopen the double left turn lane at Springhill coming into downtown. This would help traffic flow greatly. Anything done at Crocker - Gibson would impede traffic horribly. Icing on the cake would be to add bike lanes on Springhill clear out to Independence highway. These steps are more forward thinking in my mind.	2016-03-26 07:24:01
168	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-28 07:21:28
169	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-28 12:22:39
170	3	5	5	1	2	Roundabouts are proven to be safer for cars and pedestrians than 4-way stop/light intersections. I think a roundabout would work nicely with the traffic flows in this neighborhood.	2016-03-29 08:09:29
171	5	4	3	1	2	I live in North Albany and make this turn several times a day. The right turn lane is dangerous because of the narrow lane on Crocker, due to ditch I assume. I really am hoping for round about because I think it will be safer for drivers and pedestrians.	2016-03-29 08:12:25
172	5	3	4	1	2	I live in the area and drive through the North Albany and Gibson roundabout every day. I think that people that live in the area are use to driving a roundabout, which appears to be the best overall solution.	2016-03-29 08:34:56
173	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 13:06:46
174	2	1	4	3	5		2016-03-29 14:13:35
175	5	4	2	1	3		2016-03-29 14:15:01

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
176	4	3	1	2	5	A mini roundabout is the worst option! It won't be any better than the current situation. ANYTHING (even removing the current stop sign on Crocker and just creating a yield!) would be better than a mini roundabout. An all-way stop isn't a great option because west-bound traffic on Gibson Hill will back up and block Crittenden Lane traffic as well, thereby creating another problem. A traffic signal without turn lanes might be cheaper now, but it makes sense to just spend a little extra and fix the problem long-term. One and done. This option is also the most truck-friendly as some longer vehicles have issues with roundabouts. I don't want my freight deliveries delayed due to poor road design, especially when there are no alternatives into that area of North Albany. While we're at it, can someone PLEASE repave Crocker Lane? Holy new suspension, Batman! The pot holes patches are just not cutting it. I go the long way to Scenic or Laura Vista just to avoid the wear on my car from Crocker.	2016-03-29 14:18:21
177	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 14:18:49
178	5	4	2	1	3		2016-03-29 14:22:30
179	3	2	1	4	5		2016-03-29 14:31:53
180	2	1	5	3	4		2016-03-29 14:35:13
181	4	3	5	1	2		2016-03-29 14:39:58
182	3	1	2	5	4	We don't need another roundabout in North Albany or any other means to slow traffic down when no one is trying to turn off Crocker. Alternative 2 meets all the needs of this proposed change and is about in the middle cost-wise.	2016-03-29 14:58:28
183	3	5	4	1	2		2016-03-29 15:02:13
184	3	2	1	4	5	What does "ROW" mean in the chart ? Will turns to and from Critterton Loop be altered/restricted/changed? Will adjacent property owners have some land taken by the projects ? Thanks.	2016-03-29 15:10:17
185	2	1	3	5	4		2016-03-29 15:19:47
186	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-29 15:28:49
187	2	1	3	4	5		2016-03-29 15:31:44
188	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-29 15:34:24
189	1	2	4	3	5		2016-03-29 15:38:13
190	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 15:39:33
191	4	4	4	4	4	How about Spring Hill drive getting some attention and money instead of N Albany rd? At least a sidewalk along Springhill to Hickory!	2016-03-29 15:40:29

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
192	5	4	3	1	2	Please what ever you do take the mini roundabout off the table. They are dangerous. For years I use to have to travel thru one on almost a daily basis for a 4 way traffic control on Grant Ave in Corvallis. People ignored it and flew through it not even slowing down. They pay no mind to pedestrians and bicyclists. Bicyclists are equally at fault as they breeze thru not heeding traffic. It was so bad, finally after years, they took it out letting it go back to a four way stop. Mini roundabouts people pay no heed to because they do not divert the traffic flow extreme enough. People flirt with danger as it does not slow them down enough to give caution. People still use them as a straight line road where each thinks they have the right-a-way. I am in personal terror of a mini round on that road. I have seen in, I have lived it for years. Looks good on paper but a nightmare in reality. If it comes to a roundabout design it should be a full large roundabout and never.....ever.....a miniature version. I am seriously begging you here. I don't wish to live through that again. Cannot tell you how many times I have seen others or I myself come within inches of our lives due to that mini round. They way people run to and from work and school on Gibson it would be a disaster and a total waste of funds. Do it proper the first time for lasting years and not half baked. I actually like large (notice I said LARGE) roundabouts better than waiting for lights. If you think a mini round is safe.....I got news for you.....I truly dispute the safety performance of a mini round.	2016-03-29 15:55:41
193	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-29 15:57:28
194	4	5	3	1	2		2016-03-29 15:59:54
195	4	4	3	2	1	Alternative 4 preferred but ROW acquisition overrides. Good judgment dictates Alternative 5. The other three choices would probably do nothing to reduce accidents. Alternative 3 better than Alternatives 1 o 2./	2016-03-29 16:03:03
196	4	4	1	2	3	Very busy road. 3 way stop would back traffic up too much. Roundabouts are usually used incorrectly causing more trouble. Light would be safest for all.	2016-03-29 16:03:24
197	1	4	2	3	4		2016-03-29 16:04:51
198	5	4	3	1	2	A round about make the most sence. Traffic flow is greatly improved where they are used. They are also more pleasing to look at.	2016-03-29 16:05:38
199	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-29 16:12:38
200	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 16:18:38
201	3	4	5	1	2		2016-03-29 16:28:23
202	5	1	3	2	4		2016-03-29 16:36:59
203	3	1	2	5	4		2016-03-29 16:41:58
204	4	3	2	1	5		2016-03-29 16:43:46

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
205	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-29 16:49:57
206	2	3	1	5	4	The alternative #4 will end up in court for 10 years and we need something done as soon as possible.	2016-03-29 17:07:04
207	3	1	2	5	4	A roundabout would take up to much of the surrounding land. The cost for a roundabout would be more than an "all way" stop.	2016-03-29 17:56:23
208	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 17:57:05
209	3	4	5	2	1		2016-03-29 18:03:15
210	4	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 18:09:38
211	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 18:17:16
212	1	2	3	5	4	I believe any kind of roundabout would be a terrible idea. Right now the roundabout at North Albany road barely works for Covey Run residents at certain times of the day, when drivers coming north refuse to leave enough room for drivers coming south to get onto the roundabout. I believe the same thing would happen on Gibson Hill, where drivers trying to enter a roundabout from Crocker would be barred from doing so by drivers refusing to allow them. We own a home off of Crocker and understand the need for a change. The Roundabout is the best idea, in our opinion. We would suggest some type of communication with drivers on how to use a roundabout. It works best when traffic is flowing in a constant motion through the roundabout. If traffic stops at the roundabout to wait for all the traffic to go through, then it defaults to a four way stop. We find this is how 80% of the drivers use the current roundabout in North Albany. It would be great if it was used efficiently but that cannot be done if drivers are not taught how to drive through them. Thanks for listening (or reading)!	2016-03-29 18:17:29
213	4	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 18:33:15
214	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-29 18:59:59
215	5	4	3	1	2	Thanks for asking. I think it is important to try and keep traffic moving as much as possible. Think hard.	2016-03-29 19:12:02
216	5	3	1	4	2		2016-03-29 19:13:26
217	5	3	4	1	2		2016-03-29 19:27:42
218	5	2	3	4	1		2016-03-29 19:27:54
219	1	2	3	4	5		2016-03-29 19:31:12
220	5	4	3	1	2	I prefer roundabouts but am concerned about how much private property might have to be used and confiscated. If you can do the modern roundabout without taking lots of property...if not the mini. I have no idea what ROW impacts mean.	2016-03-29 20:06:36

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
234	3	2	1	4	5	the roundabouts we now have are severely undersized and with anybulb-outs for peds arevery difficult to maneuver with any mid sized vehicle or larger. since this is a [T] intersection the round-a- bouts should NOT even be considered. thank you.	2016-03-29 22:48:15
235	5	2	1	3	4	If doing a signal, please have dedicated turn lanes so people turning left won't hold up through or right hand traffic depending on which road you are traveling on.	2016-03-29 23:10:02
236	4	3	1	2	5		2016-03-29 23:15:11
237	3	1	5	4	2		2016-03-30 00:01:02
238	5	3	1	2	4	Something needs to be done here, obviously. Any of there options would help. In dealing with the few roundabouts we already have in Albany. I believe there needs to be more public knowledge on how to use them because many drivers don't understand the rules in regards to using the roundabouts. Thank you.	2016-03-30 00:11:25
239	5	4	2	1	3		2016-03-30 00:40:01
240	1	3	5	4	2	Please keep it inexpensive; therefore my first choice is an all-way stop. My second choice is a mini roundabout because I hate stopping.	2016-03-30 01:07:05
241	5	5	5	1	2	ROUNDABOUT, ROUNDABOUT, ROUNDABOUT -- Let's keep things MOVING. Absolutely don't want a light. Thank you for asking about this -- I know a change will be coming! I think a traffic light would be the best option for increased traffic control and safety. A stop sign intersection would create a bottleneck. I don't consider roundabouts to be safe alternatives for traffic control. Every time I go through one I have a near miss with another driver that doesn't know what to do at a roundabout so they go through it without looking first. I'm also a CDL driver that frequents the north Albany area. Most roundabouts are not designed with trucks in mind so I either have to avoid the area or run over the curb of a roundabout. It is my opinion that roundabouts are not viable solutions.	2016-03-30 04:22:03
242	3	2	1	4	5		2016-03-30 05:38:18
243	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-30 06:14:10
244	5	3	4	2	1		2016-03-30 07:19:26
245	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-30 07:29:38
246	4	2	5	3	1		2016-03-30 08:09:28
247	1	2	4	3	5	I live a block from there and observe that there are only certain times of day when traffic control is sorely needed. Most of the time there isn't a problem with that intersection, but during morning and evening commute time it would greatly help. There isn't enough room to do a safe roundabout of any type, even by taking some private property. It would be similar to the problems the temporary roundabout at Quarry and Springhill caused. #1 choice is the fastest cheapest way to get this done - and we need it done sooner rather than later. Putting in a traffic light would be overkill. What the city really needs to do is improve turning ability all along Gibson Hill by putting in a center turn lane.	2016-03-30 08:18:47

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
248	4	3	2	2	1		2016-03-30 08:21:48
249	5	4	3	1	2		2016-03-30 08:22:47
250	1	3	2	4	4	The roundabout is a traffic nightmare. They are marginally acceptable during the day but confusing and dangerous at night. Albany tried a roundabout on Springhill Drive and caused several accidents as a direct result of this irresponsible choice. The telephone pole will never be the same. Anybody that thinks the "Modern Roundabout" is an intelligent choice should be redirected to trash collection instead of traffic engineering. Your survey doesn't allow access to the fifth column or the roundabout would have been my fifth choice. To mark the two roundabout options as preferred for safety is to ignore our experience in North Albany. In Europe, these have been used successfully. They are confusing to come upon in the United States. Please don't make this stupid mistake again in Albany.	2016-03-30 08:25:06
251	5	1	4	2	3		2016-03-30 08:25:23
252	3	4	4	2	1	Anything but another stop light. The new ones they already installed do not work correctly. They turn red on the most used road when there is no traffic.	2016-03-30 10:35:59
253	5	2	1	4	3		2016-03-30 11:25:38
254	4	1	2	3	5		2016-03-30 11:29:20
255	1	2	3	4	5		2016-03-30 15:20:57
256	1	2	3	4	5		2016-03-30 16:20:36
257	5	3	4	2	1		2016-03-30 17:12:29
258	3	2	4	1	5	Need to prioritize ped & bike safety for this very active community. Very dangerous to cross street or ride along street currently. Thank you for seeking input.	2016-03-30 19:32:35
259	5	3	2	1	4		2016-03-30 20:46:03
260	4	2	1	5	3		2016-03-30 20:48:53
261	2	1	4	3	5		2016-03-30 20:49:45
262	1	3	5	4	2	Both options above will slow traffic, which will be great. I would like to see NA Road, Gibson, Crocker and Scenic all dropped to 30 mph.	2016-03-30 21:47:58
263	5	3	2	1	4	As the population in North Albany grows, the traffic congestion will increase two-fold. A long term fix will be needed to solve the present and future problems.	2016-03-30 22:59:38

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
264	2	4	5	3	1	This should have been considered sooner, and construction implemented during the installation of the new sidewalk. Now we are facing another round of construction and traffic flow disruption. Please try to plan further ahead in the future.	2016-03-30 23:19:00
265	4	3	4	2	1	I live off Crocker, so I travel this road several times daily. Thank you for being pro-active in helping traffic in our neighborhood. All way stop is my last choice but the survey won't move over to 5 for me to click on it	2016-03-31 01:29:13
266	5	5	5	1	1	We have lived on Crocker Lane for over 40 years and have seen the increase of traffic on Crocker and Gibson Hill. It is very difficult to get onto Gibson. We really appreciate the roundabout at Gibson Hill and North Albany road. It works so effectively. We think the best choice is the Modern Roundabout.	2016-03-31 08:13:24
267	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-31 10:17:36
268	3	5	4	2	1		2016-03-31 10:18:42
269	3	1	5	2	4	We just got sidewalks, more folks are using Gibson Hill Road now. Safety for bikers, folks with strollers, and walkers is paramount. Significant impact to people not protected by cars is unacceptable.	2016-03-31 13:53:00
270	4	2	1	3	5	I hope whatever is chosen is better planned than the fiasco last summer at Springhill and Quarry Road. I feel stop lights with turn lanes are the safest; many Albany residents are inept using the roundabouts; a man all but creamed me on Main when I had the right away recently.	2016-03-31 14:28:54
271	3	4	5	2	1		2016-03-31 15:48:32
272	1	5	4	3	2	The simpler, the better, BUT, the speed limit on Gibson Hill should be 35 - all the way from Scenic down North Albany to Hwy 20 (except for the school zone, of course)	2016-03-31 22:15:46
273	5	4	3	2	1		2016-03-31 22:45:11
274	3	2	1	4	5	I do not recommend a roundabout because most people do not know the proper way to use a roundabout. The residents who use the North Albany/Gibson Hill roundabout think that when they reach the roundabout they have the right away and forget about other people at the intersection.	2016-04-01 07:25:08
275	5	4	3	1	2	Roundabouts are simply the future of intersections. Flow of traffic is not impeded, and the more of these built in Albany, the more citizens will adapt. Also, with the amount of traffic expected to increase due to new home construction (unlike, say, the W Thornton Lk Dr/N Albany Rd intersection), I would guess that the citizenry would prefer to "keep it moving" rather than sit through lengthy light changes (much like the W Thornton Lk Dr/N Albany Rd intersection). If the city has had positive response regarding the new Gibson Hill/N Albany Rd mini roundabout, then seemingly, continuing with these types of intersections would garner additional approval.	2016-04-01 09:35:15
276	2	3	2	1	3		2016-04-01 15:07:03
277	3	2	1	4	5		2016-04-01 17:57:54

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
278	4	2	3	1	5		2016-04-01 18:41:27
279	4	3	2	1	5		2016-04-01 18:43:29
280	3	4	5	2	1		2016-04-01 21:09:03
281	2	1	4	3	5	It is very important that biking and walking be facilitated. Any plan which does not include these is unacceptable. Thank you.	2016-04-01 22:31:30
282	3	4	4	1	2	please explain ROW means Right of Way, ASAP. Is #4 even feasible with ROW needs? Does ROW mean the city would have to buy the houses in red highlight?	2016-04-02 07:15:12
283	3	4	3	1	2	this presentation is FANTASTIC! It is very exciting to see the options and have input on upcoming projects. thank you	2016-04-02 07:18:31
284	5	4	3	1	2		2016-04-03 10:45:51
285	3	2	1	4	5	It seems in driving this section often as well as looking forward, this area would benefit the most from Alternative 3. As traffic continues to increase in the area, it may be best to implement the turn lanes so as not to have to come back and make changes in the near future. The roundabout seems as though it should be the last option analyzed as it would not, in my opinion, fix the traffic issues rather just slow everything down. Furthermore, those turning off Crocker would still be forced to pause for traffic headed west on Gibson which can be heavy at times.	2016-04-03 12:46:14
286	3	1	5	4	2		2016-04-03 13:40:28
287	2	5	3	1	4		2016-04-03 14:18:34
288	5	3	2	1	4		2016-04-03 14:50:55
289	2	1	3	4	5	I live off Crocker Lane and favor a plan that would force the cross-traffic on Gibson Hill to stop; cars travel way too fast on that long stretch of road.	2016-04-03 17:12:22
290	2	2	2	2	1		2016-04-03 21:26:13
291	3	4	5	2	1		2016-04-04 12:26:58
292	5	4	1	2	3		2016-04-04 14:56:17
293	1	4	5	2	3		2016-04-04 16:33:41
294	4	4	3	1	2		2016-04-04 19:24:55
295	5	3	1	2	4		2016-04-05 12:24:42

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
296	1	2	3	5	4		2016-04-05 21:18:52
297	1	2	3	4	4		2016-04-05 21:20:35
298	1	4	2	5	3	Would there be a way for numbers 1 and/or 3 to have a 'right turn without stopping' option for heading west on Gibson Hill?	2016-04-05 21:21:23
299	5	1	2	4	3		2016-04-05 21:31:02
300	5	4	3	1	2	Charge an additional tax to all the new construction in order to help pay for these traffic changes or the city could STOP ALLOWING NEW CONSTRUCTION TO BE BUILT until solutions are already in place to help with all the additional traffic!!!	2016-04-05 21:37:32
301	3	2	1	5	4		2016-04-05 22:15:46
302	1	2	3	4	5		2016-04-05 22:20:08
303	1	2	4	5	3		2016-04-05 23:27:03
304	5	5	5	1	2	Roundabout! Roundabout! Roundabout! Please and thank you. Roundabout! Roundabout! Roundabout! Please and thank you. Roundabout! Roundabout! Roundabout! Please and thank you.	2016-04-06 07:46:42
305	5	4	3	1	2	Roundabouts keep traffic flowing, which is what should be the overall goal. The current roundabout on Gibson hill has already proven safe and effective.	2016-04-06 09:14:26
306	5	2	1	3	4		2016-04-06 10:19:07
307	5	4	3	1	2		2016-04-06 10:29:25
308	5	2	1	3	4		2016-04-06 10:39:51
309	2	1	3	4	5	The mini probably won't control traffic well. The modern significantly impacts useability of the NW property. The all-way and single signal are tossup. Speed limit control in what is really a residential area is crucial to a sound outcome	2016-04-06 11:29:38
310	5	4	3	2	1		2016-04-06 12:37:37
311	4	3	2	1	5	I would rate the signal options lower if I could, and I would rather leave the intersection untouched than go with a mini roundabout. Please don't go with one of these, they suck! Go big roundabout, or go home!	2016-04-06 13:29:11
312	5	4	2	1	3		2016-04-06 16:26:05

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
313	4	1	3	5	2	As one of the primary homeowners on the corner directly effected by these alternative traffic changes I feel that my input should be considered more then people that use these main roads but have nothing to lose. I feel that the modern roundabout will increase safety issues due to the current speed limit. Also, during peak traffic travel times this would not expedite traffic due to people's impatience. Additionally, since this option will steal half of my yard I am unequivocally opposed to this option! Secondly, I feel that the all-way stop will cause more problems than it fixes, congestion will multiply and impatience will increase raising the risk for road rage. I do feel that the signal with turn lanes will help; however, you are considering taking my property once again. In this option I am the only one who loses property and you will be removing numerous plants and trees that have been there for years. The mini roundabout is less invasive to my property and will still provide a decrease to congestion as long as traffic speeds are decreased. My primary choice would be the single lane signal because it will help the most with the least impact to my property and still aid in traffic flow from all directions. All of these options remove Crittenden Loop access to Gibson Hill which will cause a lot of new additional traffic through housing developments toward either Broadway or North Albany Road (something to consider). I request that when you are about to make the decision you come directly to me as a homeowner primarily involved and make your case in person.	2016-04-06 17:45:02
314	1	4	5	2	3	Please no lights. The one on North Albany Road near the school is annoying and doesn't always seem to work properly. I think an All-Way Stop would be best. If not that then a modern roundabout. Also, the speed limit on Gibson Hill should probably be lowered. Thanks for listening.	2016-04-06 20:13:18
315	3	1	2	4	5	As an insurance agent for over 30 years, I see the effects of roundabouts and accidents and driver confusion. With the level of aggressive driving I see, roundabouts are an aggravation to drivers as the car to the left tries to beat the next one in. I much prefer the signal as a "Politeness" enforcer.	2016-04-07 05:54:42
316	4	4	3	1	2	I travel this route daily. Roundabout works the best. I like roundabouts. Like the one to the east about va mile away.	2016-04-07 07:01:26
317	5	2	1	3	4	Experienced mini roundabout in Corvallis which wasvery dangerous in residential area & it was eventually removed--what a waste. What a nightmare it would be at this higher speed intersection. NO!	2016-04-07 07:31:08
318	4	4	3	1	2	Spend the money now instead of twice later. A stop sign or traffic light is just a temporary fix. Eventually s Roundabout will be needed. Do it now for less.	2016-04-07 08:13:10
319	5	3	2	1	4	Roundabout keeps traffic working and works just down the road at Gibson and north Albany road. Just want to make sure there is enough area for visibility to ensure it will work. Something is needed sooner than later as this is a big concern. As a parent of new teen drivers it becomes even more scary as it is now. Would like this to occur faster than planned -hopefully.	2016-04-07 11:04:08

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
320	4	2	1	3	5	I have been driving thru this intersection every day for 39 years. I agree it needs improved. The problem with round a bouts is the city doesn't know how to design them. Even though the one at N. Albany roads works rather well, the design is poor. It is too small and you cannot tell what a drivers intentions are until the last minute. The yield for traffic going East thru the intersection is a problem because it happens so seldom that drivers going north stop looking. A good design would be a third choice but since I have little expectation of a good design it is a no choice. thanks, Gary	2016-04-07 11:14:17
321	3	1	2	4	5	Goal of the project? If it is to move traffic along on Crocker AND slow traffic on Gibson Hill, then I believe traffic signals are the best approach. The amount of traffic is growing in N Albany with more housing development. Speed on Gibson Hill makes it difficult to get out of Crocker onto Giblson Hill. When there is an accident on HWY 20 and traffic is diverted we have very little chance to get onto Gibson Hill from Crocker to go into town.	2016-04-07 12:23:12
322	3	2	1	5	4	With the amount of traffic growing in N Albany a light would be the safest. If you put in a roundabout then the traffic coming down Crocker would be sitting and waiting for an entrance into the roundabout because those on Gibson Hill will not slow down and yield to the cars wanting to enter from Crocker. NO ROUNDABOUT PLEASE!!!	2016-04-07 13:29:02
323	3	2	1	5	4	NO ROUNDABOUTS - PLEASE!!!! When driving from Crocker onto Gibson Hill road, the visibility is very poor. You have to be INTO the roundabout before being able to see ANY oncoming traffic. You would still have to look in two directions before entering Gibson Hill road. If anything, why not try the least costly of having stop signs to see how that works. Otherwise, use traffic signals that are motion sensed and timely.	2016-04-07 16:09:35
324	3	1	2	4	5	Thank you for looking at this, Albany is growing up and Gibson is now right down the middle of a major residential area. I see cars doing 60 mph right next to kids walking 8 ft.to the side of them. You can actually see vegetation debris kicked up from the cars tires hitting the kids, it's only a matter of time.... I would say at this point lowering the speeds is a priority, In addition to this intersection, you take your life in your hands trying to get on to Gibson from Broadway, the rise off the round-about blocks any vision of the cars coming, it's a white knuckle experience.	2016-04-07 17:41:25
325	4	3	5	1	2	First of all the city should really think about lowering the speed limit out here on Gibson Hill rd. We live out here and I can't tell you how many times people don't pay attention to the people in the crosswalks they put out here. People go way to fast this is a neighbor hood we need more police patrol out here I bet you would get lots of people for speeding. Maybe the city should plan a day or two and see what it would be like with a four way stop at all times of the day and take notes and see how far traffic would back up at peak times.	2016-04-07 20:45:45
326	3	4	5	1	2		2016-04-07 20:50:44

Entry Id	1. All-Way Stop	2. Single Lane Signal	3. Signal with Turn Lanes	4. Modern Roundabout	5. Mini Roundabout	Comments:	Date Created
327	4	3	2	1	5	OK I read the article in the newspaper and all it sounded to me is that once again our elected officials have no balls to make the hard decisions. Be bold, grow a few and make a move to do a project that will last 50 years. I also feel that not a one of you drive this road multiple times a day. A traffic light is not the most efficient way to keep traffic flowing at that intersection and the whining you are doing about a solid decent modern roundabout taking property.....that corner has an empty house almost in ruins and this scares you? Move the road a tad and take down a house no one is living in. Don't waste electricity and future maintenance on a traffic light. Here will be an intersection that causes no problems if the power goes out and minimal maintenance. Skip the cheap ass band aids. Do it right the first time and you will never have to worry about it again. Do the Oregon thing....go green, use no power from the grid and plant a tree.	2016-04-07 22:12:28